

Presence of shrinkage porosity in the casting of a LUK single mass flywheel

L-030204-0H53-06-000 of material EN GJL 250

uuu

Kočevar Robert

Date, 12.2.2024

#### **Contents of the shrinkage porosity presentation**



- Product description
- Scrap trends since the start of production
- Tightening of quality criteria after machining of castings
- Display of analyses:
  - Melt control by ATAS MetStar (Advance Thermal Analysis System)
  - Control of the sand mixture with the "Green sand control Belloi & Romagnoli" device
  - Tests with modified casting system
  - Tests with modified feeding system
- Description of the solution with exothermic feeders
- Conclusion

#### **Product description**

Material: EN GJL 250 and bentonite sand mix for mould making Brutto weight .......18,700 kg Netto weight ........15,450 kg













#### Main defect after mechanical treatment

The main defect after machining is shrinkage porosity:











## Location of shrinkage porosity in relation to the attachment of the casting ingates





#### Evolution of internal scrap since the start of production





#### Analysis of internal scrap by fault for 2020-2023









#### Evolution of the external scrap since the start of production







#### Size of shrinkage porosity



- The variation in quality or material ejection is due to the tightening of the shrinkage porosity criteria also in the depth of the casting or throughout the cross-section, which only becomes apparent after machining. The shrinkage porosity is mainly due to the unfavourable design of the casting, which cannot be compensated for even by the good self-feeding properties of grey cast iron:
- 5 postruženih kos
- Poroznost sega v globino do 4,5mm po površini pa do 14,5mm
- Poroznost se začne med 0,5mm in 1,5mm v globini že obdelanega kosa
- V primerjavi z odlitkom je poroznost prisotna na 31% dimenzije debeline stene
- glede na nominalno dimenzijo (14,4mm).
- Na obdelani površini pa 53% dimenzije debeline stene glede na nominalno dimenzijo (8,5mm).

#	Gnezdo	Začetek poroznosti [mm]	Konec poroznosti [mm]	Globina max poroznosti [mm]	Max širina poroznosti [mm]	Skupna globina poroznosti (mm		
1	LV4	1,0	5,0	2,0	11,4	4,0		
2	LV1	0,5	5,0	0,5	13,8	4,5		
3	LV4	0,5	5,0	0,5	14,5	4,5		
4	LV2	1,5	5,5	1,5	12,5	4.0		
5	LV3	1,0	4,5	2,0	9,6	3,5		
	max.	1,5	5,5	2,0	14,5	4,5		
	min.	0,5	4,5	0,5	9,6	3,5		



#### Shrinkage porosity vs. engraving position



□ Analysis of the material external scrap - porosity vs. engraving number:



#### Shrinkage porosity on hub

**G** Fishbon



#### Optimising raw materials for the production of the LUK flywheel casting CIMOS

- □ Tightening the criteria for the stabilityraw material for the production of melt:
- Before optimisation





Melt control by ATAS MetStar and analysis of the results in correlation with the material scrap after each batch of casting after machining

#### ACEL parameter for first and second ladle depended on time (VU007-7)



As we see on a figure above, ACEL oz (CEL) moves downwards from middle of the april.





The relationship between MQ and external scrap is directly correlated with R, GRF1 and GRF2 according to ATAS MetStar analyses, or these parameters have twice the significance compared to TL, TL low and TS.





Microstructural comparison of flywhell LUK, surface M1:

Low scrap (good quality):

High scrap (bad quality):







Graphite shape A

Large graphite flakes with combined undercooled graphite

Size and shape of the graphite are directly connected to the ATAS MetStar thermal parameters (next slide).<sup>15</sup>



#### Statistical comparison between good and bad iron for LUK (VU007-7)

High scrap (bad quality):

#### Low scrap (good quality):

Statistics						×	Statistics						×
Property	Average <sup>Min Max</sup>	Std. Dev.	Multiplier	Lower Adjustment	Upper Adjustment	Export	Property	Average <sub>Min Max</sub>	Std. Dev.	Hultiplier	Lower Adjustment	Upper Adjustment	Export
TL	<b>1169,1</b> 1155,7 1193,0	13,3	3,5 ×	1169,2 -46,7 → 1122,5	<b>1184,2</b> 31,5 - 1215,7	Update	TL	<b>1166,4</b> 1157,4 1177,6	6,9	3,5 ~	1169,2 -27,0 → 1142,2	1184,2 6,4 - 1190,6	Update
TELow	1135,5 1134,1 1140,3	2,4	3,5 ×	1135,9 -8,8 - 1127,1	1142,9 1.0 → 1143,9	Update	TELow	1135,9 1131,8 1137,7	1,9	3,5 ×	1135,9 -6,7 - 1129,2	1142,9 -0,3 - 1142,6	Update
TEHigh	1141,8 1139.4 1146.8	2,7	3,5 ×	1139,9 -7,5 - 1132,4	1146,9 4,3 → 1151,2	Update	TEHigh	1143,8 1139,1 1146,1	2,4	3,5 ×	1139,9 -4,5 - 1135,4	1146,9 5,3 - 1152,2	Update
TS	1094,9 1090,2 1102,5	4,2	3,5 ×	1100,0 -19,8 → 1080,2	1125,0 -15,4 → 1109,6	Update	TS	1094,2 1086.0 1097.7	4,2	3,5 ×	1100,0 -20,5 → 1079,5	1125,0 -16,1 → 1108,9	Update
TES	<b>1159,7</b> 1150,1 1176,9	10,2	3,5 ×	1152,5 -28,5 → 1124,0	<b>1163,5</b> 31,9 - 1195,4	Update	TES	1155,2 1150,3 1160,9	3,5	3,5 ×	1152,5 -9,6 - 1142,9	1163,5 3,9 - 1167,4	Update
R	6,3 5,2 7,7	0,9	3,5 ×	2,5 0,7 - 3,2	6,0 3,4 - 9,4	Update	R	<b>7,8</b> <sub>6,1</sub> 8,9	1,0	3,5 ×	<b>2,5</b> 1,8 - 4,3	6,0 5,3 → 11,3	Update
GRF1	65 60 70	4	3,5 ×	60 -9 - 51	90 -11 → 79	Update	GRF1	61 58 64	2	3,5 ~	60 -6 - 54	90 -22 → 68	Update
GRF2	44 32 61	10	3,5 ×	15 -6 - 9	45 34 - 79	Update	GRF2	53 41 67	9	3,5 ×	15 7 - 22	45 39 - 84	Update
S1	34,3 28,4 43,1	5,1	3,5 ×	28,8 -12,4 → 16,4	52,0 0,2 - 52,2	Update	S1	31,4 26,6 37,8	3,9	3,5 ×	28,8 -11,0 → 17,8	52,0 -7,0 → 45,0	Update
TSDer	-2,92 -3,28 -2,52	0,27	3,5 ×	-4,00 0,13 → -3,87	-2,50 0,521,98	Update	TSDer	-2,67 -2,98 -2,43	0,18	3,5 ×	-4,00 0,70 → -3,30	-2,50 0,462,04	Update
TESDer	-0,84	0,17	3.5 ×	-2,00	0,00	Undate	TESDer	-0,90	0,06	3,5 ~	-2,00	0,00	Update

### Above comparison shows that thermal parameters such as R, GRF1 and GRF2 have largest average differences between good and bad quality, which gives indication about importance of these three parameters for early prediction of scrap.





According to the DTA analyses and the results of the material scrap after machining, there is a direct correlation between the minimum recalescence values obtained and the high possibility of increased shrinkage porosity.



#### **Conclusions of melt control by ATAS MetStar**



	Lin	nits		
	Min	Mean	Max	
TL.	1169,2	1176,7	1184,2	°C
TELow	1135,9	1139,4	1142,9	°C
TEHigh	1139,9	1143,4	1146,9	°C
TS	1100,0	1112,5	1125,0	°C
TES	1152,5	1158,0	1163,5	°C
TEWhite	1113	1116	1119	°C
R	2,0	4,0	6,0	°C
GRF1	60	75	90	
GRF2	15	30	45	
S1	29	40	52	%
dT/dt TS	-4,00	-3,25	-2,50	°C
dT/dt TES	-2,00	-1,00	0,00	°C

To get a good correlation between the ATAS results and the external scrap (shrinkage porosity), we came up with important facts for a good melt quality of type VU007:

a.) R must not be higher than 6 degrees Celsius and not less than 2.5 degrees Celsius.

b.) The GRF1 parameter should be higher than 60

c.) The GRF2 parameter should be less than 45





#### Melt inoculation with Foseco MSI 900

#### 220106.TXT - Notepad

File Edit For	mat View	Help							
Date/Time	Cou	nter g/sec.	Inoc.Time	e H	B °C	Failure	/ Warning	/ 1	Remark
06.01.2022	00:11:53	7057	125.8 / 1	123.7	8.	.5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:12:28	7058	125.8 / 1	125.0	8.	.5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:13:08	7059	127.3 / 1	126.1	8.	.6	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:13:49	7060	125.8 / 1	124.6	8.	.5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:14:29	7061	125.8 / 1	124.9	8.	5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:15:09	7062	125.8 / 1	124.7	8.	5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:16:17	7063	127.3 / 1	126.0	8.	.6	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:17:11	7064	125.8 / 1	125.0	8.	.5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:17:52	7065	127.3 / 1	126.6	8.	.6	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:18:37	7066	125.8 / 1	125.1	8.	.5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:20:48	7067	125.8 / 1	125.2	8.	5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:22:13	7068	125.8 / 1	125.2	8.	.5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:30:46	7069	125.8 / 1	125.2	8.	.5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:32:15	7070	125.8 / 1	125.3	8.	5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:32:50	7071	125.8 / 1	125.1	8.	.5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:33:30	7072	125.8 / 1	125.1	8.	.5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:34:10	7073	125.8 / 1	125.0	8.	5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:34:50	7074	125.8 / 1	124.8	8.	5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:35:30	7075	125.8 / 1	124.8	8.	.5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:36:10	7076	170.0 / 1	170.0	8.	5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:50:19	7077	170.0 / 1	170.0	8.	.5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:51:23	7078	170.0 / 1	170.0	8.	.5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:52:38	7079	170.0 / 1	170.0	8.	5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:53:17	7080	170.0 / 1	170.0	8.	.5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:54:32	7081	170.0 / 1	170.0	8.	.5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:55:12	7082	170.0 / 1	170.0	8.	5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:55:53	7083	170.0 / 1	170.0	8.	.5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:56:32	7084	172.0 / 1	172.0	8.	.6	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:57:12	7085	170.0 / 1	170.0	8.	.5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:57:53	7086	172.0 / 1	172.0	8.	.6	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:58:32	7087	170.0 / 1	170.0	8.	.5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:59:12	7088	170.0 / 1	170.0	8.	.5	37.0		
06.01.2022	00:59:52	7089	170.0 / 1	170.0	8.	.5	37.0		
06.01.2022	01:00:33	7090	172.0 / 1	172.0	8.	.6	37.0		
06.01.2022	01:01:12	7091	170.0 / 1	170.0	8.	.5	37.0		
06 01 2022	01.01.23	7092	172 0 / 1	172 0	8	6	37 0		
S									







#### Device for Green sand control – Belloi & Romagnoli



The results of each batch of bentonite sand mix produced consequently allow a more accurate analysis.



#### Device for Green sand control – Belloi & Romagnoli



Comparison of results from the sand laboratory for two time periods:



### Replaced (reversed) model plates with adapted casting system CIMOS



#### Increasing the radii on the casting engraving

Before increasing radii











## Internal and external scrap after increasing the radii on the casting engravings

➢ Results of the internal scrap 72 out of 260 pieces or 27.7%:



The total scrap were therefore 100 pieces, or 38.46%.



After machining, out of 188 pieces, 28 castings were bad or 14.9% of which 14 pieces were shrinkage porosity and 14 pieces were gas blister.



#### Feeder with separation plate





#### Feeder on the casting











✓ Fixing with one nail









#### **Exothermic feeders**



Problems with the metal neck of the exothermic feeder - falling out



Selected type of exothermic feeders- up to 2 % scrap after machining



#### **Exothermic feeders**



Eccentrically glued metal part



Guide hole for metal carrier



Correctly and incorrectly positioned exothermic feeder





Incorrect positioning of the exothermic feeder on the metal carrier results in a broken mould and scrap castings.



#### Conclusions



- During the casting validation process, the simulated 15% shrinkage porosity inside the casting was not disturbing to the customer.
- > After a certain period of time, we were warned that the shrinkage porosity had to be eliminated.
- > Optimizing the technological process was not enough to eliminate shrinkage porosity.
- The analyses carried out have shown that the self-feeding capacity of grey cast iron and compliance with the technological regulations are not sufficient to eliminate shrinkage porosity.
- The purchase of a Green sand control Belloi & Romagnoli to monitor the parameters of each sand mix produced has contributed to the repeatability and consistency of the sand mix.
- We have also invested in a device for melt modification (FOSECO MSI 900) to ensure the repeatability of melt modification.
- Improving the quality of the raw materials and the use of pig iron are also no guarantee of the good quality of the castings (without shrinkage porosity).
- Using ATAS MetStar system from supplier NovaCast Systems (Mr. Andrej Kump), the results of the TA analyses were analyzed and direct correlations were found between the individual impact parameters (R, GRF1, GRF2) and the external scrap and shrinkage porosity.
- It was necessary to feed the casting with exothermic feeders FOSECO (with the assistance of Mr. Branko Čeh-FEAL d.o.o).
- Despite the increase in costs due to exothermic feeders, we were able to ensure the required quality of castings and reduce the cost of defects (lower then 2.5% after machining) and the occasional cost of extraordinary transports, freeing up capacity on the Heinrich Wagnar Sinto D-5928 moulding line.



## Thank you for your attention!

# Let's make things happen, stay motivated . . . running ahead!